

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,620	07/30/2003	Akira Nagashima	03500.015658.1	9125	
5514	7590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			FAISON GEE, VERONICA FAYE		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1755		
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 11 41 N	Applicant(s)		$\overline{}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/629,620	NAGASHIMA ET	AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Veronica Faison-Gee	1755		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-28-	<u>06</u> .			
•	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to th	e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-45,47,48 and 85</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-45,47,48 and 85</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $ extstyle extstyle $	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. have been seed and			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stane	
application from the International Bureau		d in this Hattoria	Olago	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.		
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:			

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1 and 85 have been amended claims 3, 46, 49-84 have been canceled. 47,4% Hence, claims 1, 2, 4-45, and 85 are pending in the application.

Applicant's arguments are persuasive to the extent that the previous rejections have been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4-36 and 85 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 6, 10-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,835,239. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the application and the patent are directed to fluorescent ink compositions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-45, 47, 48 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auslander et al (US Patent 6,793,723).

Auslander et al teach an ink composition that moderate the typically occurring phenomenon of quenching which comprises a first colorant comprising at least one fluorescent dye, a second colorant comprising water-soluble polymeric dye of effective molecular configuration to inhibit quenching of fluorescence of the first colorant and an aqueous liquid vehicle comprising water and a water-soluble vehicle in sufficient amounts (abstract and col. 5 lines 23-41). The reference further teaches that the fluorescent dye may be based on the chromophoric systems such as anionic coumarins, cationic coumarins, anionic naphthalimide dyes, pyranine, rhodamines, bispyrromethane boron complexes and pyronines (col. 14 lines 36-65). The ink carrier contains at least 65 percent water, fluorescence stabilizer, surfactants and glycol ethers (col. 15 line 26-col. 16 line 34). The examples disclose the amount of the fluorescent dye is in Applicant claimed the amount and that the fluorescent dye includes Acid Red 52. The reference fails to specifically the first and second organic compounds which are incompatible with each other. However the reference broadly discloses the components of the ink composition. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the components discloses by Applicant in the composition

Art Unit: 1755

of Auslander because the components taught by the Applicant are well known components.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica Faison-Gee whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

vfg 5-22-06 ELIZABETH D. WOOD

PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1753